

Critical Points

- 1 Difference between U.S. & European position Europe exposed to massive short-range nuclear conventional & chemical threat
- 2 Trident - Seawson 15 times greater than for SLCM. No way in other seas only.
- 3 Talk of world without ballistic missiles causing criticism of Trident programme & creating difficulties in Europe & Atlantic cohesion
- 4 Most key targets in Western Europe & U.S. within easy reach of air-bred threat. reverse is not the case.
- 5 Custom strategic forces require massive extra expenditure on SLCM & air defenses.
 - U.K. 550 Thorbombers, 9 Polaris
 - U.K. 11-12 missile subs - need sub base
 - 8-9 new RMA strike submarines
- 6 U.S.S.R. geographical advantage.
 - 8 1/2 million sq miles
 - U.S.A 3 1/2 million
 - London to Mo. - 1500 miles
 - 5,000 miles wide

Agreement

1. SDI

2. Priority to INF. as at Reykjavik.

but. Verification of incl. SRINF

~~INF~~ N.B. Don't limit them Preference for interim agreement

3. Support 50% reductions in ballistic missiles (? all nuclear weapons?)

[U.K.'s deterrent ~~not~~ verified affected]

BUT

① Planned by suggestion to eliminate in 10 years all strategic ballistic missiles

regardless of their on - base

of conventional / chemical / short range

nuclear and deterrence ^{SDI not} relevant to what

②

Security of work in danger in inter-

Feeling all this being done without

NATO consultation - accommodation
with USSR - Finland etc.

(3) U.S. nuclear deterrence
requires ballistic missile as one element

(4) Focus on studies of arms

Now
TRIDENT
4 by 12
15 x 15 -

Arms
12 Sub - One
w/ out
Arms begin at least
Navy's Med.

Arms TRIDENT
against arms

(5) Even both had underarmy TRIDENT
nuclear deterrence

Arms - had to TRIDENT

TRIDENT - 1985 -
Dependent on
storage -
maintenance

DISARMAMENT meant

enormously increased
up. inc. conversion
the defense
Sub

Make sure that U.S. or U.K.
keep it steady